	Case 3:16-cv-04380-EDL Document 1	Filed 08/03/16 Page 1 of 9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Robert N. Phillips (SBN 120970) Email: robphillips@reedsmith.com Adaline J. Hilgard (SBN 173213) Email: ahilgard@reedsmith.com Seth B. Herring (SBN 253907) Email: sherring@reedsmith.com REED SMITH LLP 101 Second Street Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: +1 415 543 8700 Facsimile: +1 415 391 8269 Attorneys for Plaintiffs MERSCORP Holdings, Inc. and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.	
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	MERSCORP HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware	No.:
14	corporation, and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware	COMPLAINT FOR:
15	corporation,	(1) TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, 15
16	Plaintiff,	U.S.C. § 1114;
17	VS.	(2) FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(A);
18	MERS, INC, a California Corporation, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS), a California	(3) UNFAIR COMPETITION IN VIOLATION OF CAL. BUS. AND PROF.
19	corporation,	CODE § 17200
20 21	Defendants.	[DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL]
21 22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22 23		
23 24		
24 25	Plaintin's MERSCORP Holdings, Inc. (MERSCORP) and Mongage Electronic	
23 26	Registration Systems, Inc. ("Mortgage Electronic")) allege as follows:
20 27		
27		
20		

	Case 3:16-cv-04380-EDL Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 2 of 9	
1	PARTIES	
2	1. MERSCORP is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in	
3	Reston, Virginia and is registered as a foreign corporation with the California Secretary of State.	
4	2. Mortgage Electronic is a Delaware corporation, a subsidiary of MERSCORP, with its	
5	principal place of business located in Reston, Virginia, and is registered as a foreign corporation	
6	5 with the California Secretary of State.	
7	3. Defendant MERS, INC is a California corporation with a listed principal place of	
8	8 business in Oakland, California.	
9	4. Defendant MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS)	
10	is a California corporation with a listed principal place of business in Oakland, California.	
11	JURISDICTION	
12	5. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332,	
13	1338, and 1367. Plaintiffs' claims are predicated upon 15 U.S.C. § 1114 and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a),	
14	and substantial and related claims under the law of the State of California.	
15	5 VENUE	
16	6. Venue is properly founded in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b),	
17	because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims herein occurred within this judicial	
18	8 district.	
19	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT	
20	7. This is an intellectual property action and therefore shall be assigned on a district-	
21	wide basis per Civil L.R. 3-2(c).	
22	PLAINTIFFS' TRADEMARKS	
23	8. The MERS [®] brand was originally established in 1995. ¹ Plaintiff MERSCORP owns	
24	and operates the MERS [®] System database, a national electronic registry system that tracks the	
25		
26	¹ Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. was originally incorporated in October of 1995. MERSCORP Holdings, Inc. (formerly MERSCORP, Inc.) as we know it today was incorporated on	
27	June 30, 1998 as a stock corporation called "New MERS." The existing corporation, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. that was incorporated in October 1995 was merged into "New	
28	MERS" and the name retained was Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. A name change was then done from Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. to MERSCORP, Inc. at the end	
	2	

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

Case 3:16-cv-04380-EDL Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 3 of 9

changes in servicing rights and beneficial ownership interests in mortgage loans that are registered
 on the MERS[®] System database.

9. The MERS[®] System database is the only national database that provides free public
access to servicer information for registered home mortgages. The MERS[®] System database is also
used by local governments around the nation to identify parties responsible for maintaining vacant
properties and addressing code violations. The MERS[®] System database benefits mortgage
originators, servicers, warehouse lenders, wholesale lenders, retail lenders, document custodians,
settlement agents, title companies, insurers, investors, county recorders and consumers.

9 10. The Plaintiffs have continuously used the trade name MERS in connection with these10 services since 1995.

11 11. Plaintiff Mortgage Electronic, a wholly-owned subsidiary of MERSCORP, serves as
 12 the mortgagee of record and nominee for the beneficial owner of mortgage loans registered on the
 13 MERS[®] System database.

14 12. Mortgage Electronic has used the MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION
15 SYSTEMS, INC. trade name continuously with these services since 1995.

16 13. MERSCORP owns the federal trademark registration for the MERS[®] word mark,
17 which was issued to Mortgage Electronic on or about July 29, 1997 (U.S. Trademark Registration
18 No. 2,084,831) (the "MERS[®] Mark") and is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The MERS[®] Mark
19 became incontestable on or about August 23, 2003. The MERS[®] Mark and all accompanying
20 goodwill was assigned to MERSCORP on February 27, 2012. The assignment was recorded on July
21 25, 2012, and is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

14. From at least as early as July 1997 to the present, Plaintiffs have continuously used
the MERS[®] Mark in commerce in connection with their mortgage registration services. Specifically,
for nearly twenty (20) years, MERS has served as the mortgagee for mortgage loans that are
recorded in nationwide public land records, and has marketed its services using the MERS[®]

- --

of 1998. It was at this time that the wholly-owned subsidiary of MERSCORP, Inc., Mortgage
 Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. was incorporated. In February of 2012, MERSCORP, Inc. was
 renamed MERSCORP Holdings, Inc.

trademark. Over 92 million loans have been registered on the MERS[®] System database, including
 several million loans in California. MERS also has promoted its electronic registration services on
 its Internet website located at http://www.mersinc.org. As a result, Plaintiffs have developed
 considerable goodwill in the MERS[®] mark.

5

DEFENDANTS' WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

6 15. On or about December 16, 2015, an individual purportedly named Jack Lyles filed
7 Articles of Incorporation with the California Secretary of State for "MERS, INC." On March 29,
8 2016, Mr. Lyles filed a Statement of Information with the California Secretary of State specifying
9 that MERS, INC would be in the business of "loans." A certified copy of the Articles of
10 Incorporation and Statement of Information for MERS, INC is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

11 16. In his application for MERS, INC, Mr. Lyles identified the corporate address as 248
12 3rd Street, #42, Oakland, California 94607. A packing, shipping, printing, and mailbox rental
13 service business named Jack London Mail is located at that address. According the owner of Jack
14 London Mail, mailbox number 42 does not exist at the business.

15 17. The owner of Jack London Mail has further confirmed that people confused into
believing that MERS, INC is the same entity as, or associated with, the Plaintiffs have sent mail,
including legal documents and documents associated with Plaintiffs' mortgage registration services,
to the address Mr. Lyles identifed for the corporation. In addition, on information and belief, people
have attempted to secure service of process on Plaintiffs and messenger delivery of materials to
Plaintiffs at this address This mail is always returned to sender, and process servers and messengers
are rejected.

18. On or about December 16, 2015, an individual purportedly named Connie Vargas
filed Articles of Incorporation with the California Secretary of State for "MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS)." On March 29, 2016, Ms. Vargas filed
a Statement of Information with the California Secretary of State specifying that MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS) would be in the business of "loans." A
certified copy of the Articles of Incorporation and Statement of Information for MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS) is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

-4-

1 19. In her application for MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM,
 2 INC. (MERS), Ms. Vargas identified the corporate address as 248 3rd Street, #429, Oakland,
 3 California 94607. As noted above, Jack London Mail is located at that address. According to the
 4 owner of Jack London Mail, mailbox number 429 is owned by someone unaffiliated with
 5 Defendants.

6 20. As confirmed by the owner of Jack London Mail, people confused into believing that
7 MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS) is the same entity as, or
8 associated with, the Plaintiffs have sent mail, including legal documents and documents associated
9 with Plaintiffs' mortgage registration services, to the address Ms. Vargas identified for the
10 corporation. In addition, on information and belief, people have attempted to secure service of
11 process on Plaintiffs and messengers delivery of materials to Plaintiffs at this address. This mail is
12 always returned to sender, and process servers and messengers are rejected.

13 21. The facts that the Defendant corporations have confusingly similar names to Plaintiffs 14 and have been registered to false addresses has caused substantial confusion, and damage and 15 irreparable harm to Plaintiffs, and threaten to continue to irreparably harm Plaintiffs. Defendants' 16 unauthorized actions have caused, and are likely to further cause, confusion, mistake, and deception 17 of Plaintiffs' customers, potential customers, parties in lawsuits, as well as members of the general public. Specifically, Defendants' use of corporation names confusingly similar to the MERS® Mark 18 19 and MERSCORP and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. trade 20names has led, and is likely to lead, individuals to mistakenly conclude that Defendants' 21 corporations are affiliated with, or are, Plaintiffs.

22 22. The mistake, confusion and deception Defendants have caused is causing damage and
23 irreparable harm to Plaintiffs, depriving them of their right and ability to receive mail and service of
24 process, and compromising and threatening their ability to conduct business, provide services and
25 receive communications from a wide variety of individuals and companies with which Plaintiffs
26 would normally interact in the ordinary course of their business.

27 23. Plaintiffs' reputation and goodwill are at grave risk. Among other things, Plaintiffs
28 have a large volume of legal cases that they must manage and address. To conduct their business,

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Case 3:16-cv-04380-EDL Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 6 of 9

including, without limitation, their legal affairs and litigation, it is imperative, therefore, that they
received correspondence, legal notices, and service of process intended for them. Misdirected mail
and missed service of process has resulted, among other things, in Plaintiffs not receiving legal
notices and missing important deadlines. Defendants' unauthorized actions have damaged Plaintiffs
and caused irreparable harm, including but not limited to by causing mail related to Plaintiffs' legal
proceedings and mortgage registration services to be returned to sender and deadlines to be missed.
Defendants' unauthorized actions are likely to further cause damage to Plaintiffs' reputation and
goodwill.

9 24. Finally, Defendants' unauthorized actions have caused Plaintiffs to spend money,
10 time, and other resources to investigate and mitigate the effects of Defendants' actions.

COUNT ONE

(Trademark Infringement, 15 U.S.C. § 1114 brought by MERSCORP against all Defendants)
 25. Plaintiff MERSCORP realleges paragraphs 1 through 24 of this Complaint as if fully
 set forth herein.

15 26. MERSCORP owns all right, title, and interest in and to the MERS[®] Mark and has
16 standing to maintain an action for trademark infringement under 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

17 27. Without MERSCORP's authorization, Defendants used the MERS[®] Mark in their
18 corporate names and in commerce.

19 28. Defendants' use of the MERS[®] Mark constitutes trademark infringement pursuant to
20 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

21 29. Upon information and belief, Defendants had actual and constructive knowledge of
22 MERSCORP's ownership and rights in the MERS[®] Mark prior to Defendants' infringing use of the
23 mark.

30. Upon information and belief, Defendants used and continue to use the MERS[®] Mark
in commerce with actual knowledge of MERSCORP's rights and with actual knowledge that such
use was and continues to infringe MERSCORP's rights, all with the intention to cause confusion,
mistake and/or deception.

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

11

28

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Defendants' use of the MERS[®] Mark has caused, and is likely to cause, confusion, 31. 1 2 mistake, or deception as to the affiliation, connection, source, sponsorship, or association of 3 Defendants' corporations' association with MERSCORP and its products and services.

Upon information and belief, Defendants' intentional and willful infringement of the 4 32. MERS[®] Mark has caused damage and will continue to cause damage to MERSCORP, and is causing 5 substantial, immediate, and irreparable harm to MERSCORP for which there is no adequate remedy 6 at law. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to infringe the MERS[®] Mark. 7 8 MERSCORP is thus entitled to permanent injunctive relief.

COUNT TWO

10 (False Designation of Origin, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) brought by Plaintiffs against all Defendants)

33. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint as if fully set forth 12 herein.

13 34. Defendants have used and continue to use names that are substantially similar to the 14 MERSCORP's and Mortgage Electronic's trade names in a manner that is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the characteristics, qualities, sponsorship, affiliation, or approval of their 15 corporations. 16

17 35. Upon information and belief, Defendants have intentionally and falsely designated 18 the origin of their services with the intention of deceiving and misleading the public at large, and of 19 wrongfully trading on the reputation and goodwill of Plaintiffs and their trade names.

20 Defendants' false designation of origin has caused damage and, unless enjoined, will 36. 21 continue to cause substantial, immediate, and irreparable damage to Plaintiffs, their business 22 reputation, and their goodwill, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, which actions will continue unless restrained by this Court. Plaintiffs are thus entitled to temporary, preliminary, and 23 24 permanent injunctive relief.

- 25
- 26 27
- 28

9

11

Case 3:16-cv-04380-EDL Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 8 of 9 **COUNT THREE** 1 2 (Unfair Competition in Violation of Cal. Bus. and Prof. Code § 17200 brought by Plaintiffs 3 against all Defendants) 4 37. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1 through 36 of this Complaint as if fully set forth 5 herein. 6 38. Defendants' wrongful acts described above constitute unfair competition in violation 7 of California Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. as they are likely to deceive and mislead 8 the public. 9 39. Defendants' acts of unfair competition have caused and will continue to cause 10 Plaintiffs irreparable harm. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' unfair competition. 11 12 40. Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment enjoining and restraining Defendants from 13 engaging in further acts of infringement and unfair competition. 14 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray for the following relief: 16 A temporary restraining order, and preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, A. 17 enjoining and prohibiting Defendants, or their agents, servants, employees, officers, attorneys, successors and assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with Defendants, from: 18 Using the MERS[®] Mark, MERSCORP trade name, or MORTGAGE 19 i. 20 ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. trade name, or any confusingly similar versions thereof, in commerce in the United States; 21 22 ii. Holding an active corporation with the name MERS, INC or MORTGAGE 23 ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS) or any confusingly similar 24 name; and 25 iii. Assisting, aiding, or abetting any other person or entity in engaging in or 26 performing any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs (i) and (ii) above; 27 and such orders further direct the California Secretary of State to: 28

	Case 3:16-cv-04380-EDL Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 9 of 9	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 iv. dissolve and/or cancel the incorporation for MERS, INC, entity no. C3851651, and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC. (MERS), entity no. C3851652; and v. post an order and notice of the correct address for Plaintiffs to its public records for Defendants, including but not limited to its online registry of business entities. C. An award of damages in an amount to be determined at trial; D. An award of treble damages as provided by the Lanham Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1117(b); E. An award of attorney's fees and costs; F. An award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial; and 	
10	G. Any further relief the Court deems appropriate.	
11	1 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
12	Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues in this case.	
13		
14	DATED: August 3, 2016 Respectfully submitted,	
15 16	Reed Smith LLP	
10	By: <u>/s/ Robert N. Phillips</u>	
18	Robert N. Phillips (SBN 120970) Adaline J. Hilgard (SBN 173213) Soth P. Harring (SBN 252007)	
19	Seth B. Herring (SBN 253907) Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
20	MERSCORP Holdings, Inc. and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
_0		

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware